*Appendix 2*

Lublin, ..……….....…

**application assessment form  
for granting a *MicroGrant* within the project "*Internationalization of Lublin University of Technology Doctoral School II – IDeaS of LUT II*" funded by the National Agency for Academic Exchange from the STER NAWA programme – Internationalization of Doctoral Schools for doctoral students at the Lublin University of Technology**

Full name of doctoral student: …………………………………………………………………………………..

Title: …………………………………………………………………………………………………….………..

1. ASSESSMENT OF THE SCIENTIFIC LEVEL OF THE RESEARCH/ACTIVITIES TO BE CARRIED OUT AND THE LEVEL OF PROJECT RESULTS

including chances for: publication in journals of high scientific rank, obtaining a patent

**Score:**

**5** –**Excellent**. The results obtained during the implementation of the *MicroGrant* are likely to be published in journals of the highest scientific standing. Highly differentiated results of the project implementation.

**4** –**Very good**. The results obtained during the implementation of the *MicroGran*t have a chance of publication in mainstream journals for the field. High diversity of project outputs.

**3** –**Good**. The results obtained during the implementation of the *MicroGrant* have the potential to be published in specialised journals in international circulation. Moderate variation in the effects of project implementation.

**2** –**Average**. The results of the *MicroGrant* have a chance to be published only in publications /journals of negligible scientific rank. Low differentiation of the project's results.

**1** –**Weak**. Low variation in the effects of the *MicroGrant* implementation.

**0** –**Very weak**. No differentiation of *MicroGrant* implementation effects.

**EVALUATION:** ..........

1. COMPATIBILITY OF THE THEMATIC SCOPE OF THE ACTIVITIES WITH THE DOCTORAL STUDENT'S Individual Research Plan (IRP)

**Score:**

**3** –Activities in line with the doctoral student's IRP.

**1** –Activities not in line with the doctoral student's IRP but closely related to the research topic pursued at LUTDS

**0** –Activities incompatible with the doctoral student's IRP and not related to the research topic pursued at LUTDS.

**EVALUATION:** ..........

1. ASSESSMENT OF FEASIBILITY

assessment of the feasibility of the activities envisaged in the proposal

**Score:**

**3** –Very good.

**2** –Good.

**1** –Poor.

**0** –No possibility to implement the project.

**EVALUATION:** ..........

1. ASSESSMENT OF THE CORRECTNESS AND LEGITIMACY OF EXPENSES IN THE PROJECT

assessment of a correctly and reasonably presented cost estimate containing expenses that do not exceed the limits set by the regulations

**Score:**

**3** –Budget correctly prepared and very well justified.  
**2** –Budget correctly prepared and well justified.  
**1** –Budget correctly prepared and poorly justified.  
**0** –Budget not correctly prepared.

**EVALUATION:** ..........

**Final evaluation of the application** (average of scores obtained in points I-IV): ........

Lublin, on........................ ...................... ......................................

*signature of the IDeaS of LUT II Project Manager*

.................................................................................................................................

*signatures of the members of the IDeaS of LUT II Project Management Team*